Video Journalism : Editing Software Options

Version 0.8 (October 2013)

Creative Commons Attribution Sharealike 3.0 Unported license Andrew Lih @fuzheado, Lam Vo @lamthuyvo

Choosing the right nonlinear editor (NLE) for video news is not simple, as there are many excellent options available. Apple's infamous remake of the market leading **Final Cut Pro** (FCP) has been polarizing, but we try to provide a fair comparison of features, including the comeback kid, Adobe Premiere.

SHORT TAKE: **FCP 7** is a dead end that cannot multitask well or take in new formats. Move away from it ASAP. Looking to stick to the same paradigm of marking in/out points and three point editing? Take a serious look at **Adobe Premiere**. Its integration with the Adobe programs is a major advantage and its aut speech to text transcription can be a huge plus for news gathering. It also has better support for media asset management (MAM) and newsroom systems.

While **FCP X** works very differently ("iMovie on steroids") people have found it's incredibly fast to cut together short pieces with its skim-select-drag-drop operation. Its simpler, faster to learn interface could be a huge advantage for folks just starting out, and its ease of exporting to popular services like Youtube and Vimeo is a major plus. It has a powerful metadata/keyword system, but is its own beast (ie. closed standard)

AVID? If you're considering **Avid**, you likely have other external considerations, such as integration with an existing broadcast workflow, or prior expertise of team members. That goes beyond the scope of this document, which is meant to give advice to new or individual editors. Avid may be the right choice even for beginners.

| CRITERIA | FINAL CUT 7 | FINAL CUT X | ADOBE PREMIERE |
|------------------|--|---|---|
| Editing paradigm | Traditional NLE with bins for footage and sequences with tracks. Two-up display: Viewer and Canvas windows | Skimming (mouseover) the quick way to preview footage in Events. Projects contain one "magnetic timeline" pushing items to the left. Can act as traditional NLE | Traditional NLE with bins for footage and sequences with tracks. Two-up display: Source and Program windows |
| Ease of use | Medium | Simpler to start | Medium |
| Advantages | Existing base Training materials Runs on older hardware | Skimming very quick Magnetic timeline intuitive Multicam support Simpler exporting Powerful metadata system | Familiar for FCP7 users Adobe suite/workflow integration Cheap, as part of suite Speech to text transcription Media asset (MAM) integration |
| Disadvantages | Dead end product Ingest/transcode slow No new codecs or features | Magnetic timeline not for all Can't open FCP7 projects (!) Import/EDL/OMF via 3rd party External device control limited Media asset (MAM) support? Unpredictible Apple | Older paradigm Adobe subscription pricing may be turnoff Rendering engine while impressive, can be slow |
| Typical user | Legacy or existing projects Existing software Need to control older tape decks | For novice video learners Quick turnaround on stories Metadata/keyword junkies | Want to stick to traditional NLE paradigm. Power users of Adobe suite and After Effects (almost a no brainer to choose Premiere) |

Final Cut Pro X

Skimming footage in Events. Only a "one-up" display needed



Adobe Premiere Pro CS6

Familiar two-up Source/Program windows



Magnetic Timeline Traditional track-based editing